

From: (b)(6) [CIV ESO](#)
To: (b)(6) [LCDR RL SO SW, POINT MUGU](#)
Subject: FW: SAN MIGUEL ISLAND CA (NBVC)
Date: Monday, June 30, 2014 14:11:08

LCDR,
Mrs. (b)(6) is one my contacts at Naval Ordnance Safety & Security about SMI.

VR,

(b)(6)

Explosives Safety Officer, NBVC

311 Main St, BLDG PM 1 / Room 101

Point Mugu, CA 93042-5033

Work: (805)989-(b)(6)/DSN 351-(b)(6)

Cell: (805)310-(b)(6)

Fax 805-989-(b)(6)

"WARNING: This is a official Department of Defense communication. Some emails may be encrypted and require CAC certification to view. Emails, or their attachments, containing personally identifiable information (PII) are "For Official Use Only" (FOUO) - Privacy Sensitive. Any misuse or unauthorized disclosure may result in both civil and criminal penalties.

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(6) CIV NOSSA
Sent: Friday, March 28, 2014 10:13 AM
To: (b)(6) CIV ESO
Cc: (b)(6) CIV NOSSA
Subject: RE: SAN MIGUEL ISLAND CA (NBVC)

(b)(6),

Before the range could be considered for inclusion in the MRP, it would have to be officially closed. Not sure who the owner(s) of the range complex is (are), but there would have to be some type of official decision document. Then, after NAVFAC HQ is informed and provided the necessary info, the closed range could be included in the MRP. (Note that NAVFAC will probably ask for confirmation that another DoD Component doesn't want the range for like use before it is accepted into the MRP.) Even if the closed range becomes a MRS, please note that NAVFAC does not take ownership of the property and that the owner would still be required to comply with explosives safety criteria which includes restricting access. After the site is accepted into the MRP, a NAVFAC Remedial Project Manager (RPM) will be assigned and the site will start through the CERCLA process. If there is a use issue (i.e. the NPS has access to the site but site conditions do not support such use), NAVFAC could take action to resolve the issue at that point and the Navy owner would be expected to support NAVFAC's decisions.

This is just a quick summary and other options such as transfer to the NPS or other Federal agency could be considered (after confirmation that there's no DoD need for the site as a range or another identified use). You'd need to contact someone at NAVFAC SW (e.g. (b)(6) (operational ranges)

or (b)(6) (MRP)) to get the specific details.

Have a great weekend,

(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(6) CIV ESO
Sent: Thursday, March 27, 2014 1:20 PM
To: (b)(6) CIV NOSSA; (b)(6) CIV NOSSA
Subject: SAN MIGUEL ISLAND CA (NBVC)

In the event that SMI is determined to be excess, the operational range at SMI would become a closed range. As a closed range, SMI would drop into the Munitions Response Program for funding by NAVFAC via the Environmental Restoration Navy account. Is this a true statement? And what happens to NPS operations on the island?

VR,

(b)(6)

Explosives Safety Officer, NBVC

311 Main St, BLDG PM 1 / Room 101

Point Mugu, CA 93042-5033

Work: (805)989-(b)(6)/DSN 351(b)(6)

Cell: (805)310-(b)(6)

Fax 805-989-(b)(6)

"WARNING: This is a official Department of Defense communication. Some emails may be encrypted and require CAC certification to view. Emails, or their attachments, containing personally identifiable information (PII) are "For Official Use Only" (FOUO) - Privacy Sensitive. Any misuse or unauthorized disclosure may result in both civil and criminal penalties.